In the Matter Of: WORKSHOP RE 15 AAC 56 **HEARING** August 12, 2016

PACIFIC RIM REPORTING STENOGRAPHIC COURT REPORTERS

STENOGRAPHIC COURT REPORTERS
711 M STREET, SUITE 4
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501
907-272-4383
www.courtreportersalaska.com

1	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
2	STATE OF ALASKA
3	
4	In re:
5	Notice of Public Scoping and Workshop for Possible Updates CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
6	and Revisions to DOR Regulations 15 AAC 56: Oil and Gas Exploration,
7	Production and Pipeline Transportation Property Tax.
8	/
9	
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	
13	Pages 1 - 35, inclusive
14	Friday, August 12, 2016 1:30 P.M.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Taken at 550 West 7th Avenue, Suite 104
21	Anchorage, Alaska
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
                      A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
 2
     For State of Alaska, Department of Revenue:
 3
        John Larsen, Audit Master
        Lennie Dees, Audit Master
 4
        550 West 7th Avenue, Suite 500
        Anchorage, Alaska 99501
 5
        907/269-8436
 6
     Also Present:
 7
        Ken Alper, Department of Revenue
        John Bitney, public
 8
        Robin Brena, Brena, Bell & Clarkson
 9
        Molly Brown, Dillon & Findley
        Peter Caltagirone, Department of Law
10
        Kathleen Dalton, Department of Revenue
        Dan Dickinson, BDO
        Felipe Farley, North Slope Borough
11
        Michael Hurley, ConocoPhillips
12
        Tim Jones, Glacier Oil & Gas
        Joyce Lofgren, Department of Revenue
13
        Steve Mahoney, Manley & Brautigam
        Marty McGee, State Assessor
14
        Melody Nardin, Brena, Bell & Clarkson
        Martin Schultz, Department of Law
        Andrew Stemp, North Slope Borough
15
        Gretchen Stoddard, public
16
        Jack Wakeland, Brena, Bell & Clarkson
        Tom Williams, BP
17
     Present via Teleconference:
18
        Jill Dolan, Fairbanks North Star Borough
19
        Carl Giesler, Glacier Oil
        Mary Gramling, Department of Law
20
        Wayne Kelley, RSK
        Brenda Maxwell, ASRC
21
        Forrest Olemaun, North Slope Borough
22
     Court Reporter:
23
        Gary Brooking, Registered Professional Reporter
        PACIFIC RIM REPORTING
24
        711 M Street, Suite 4
        Anchorage, Alaska 99501
25
```

1	ANCHORAGE, ALASKA; FRIDAY, AUGUST 12, 2016
2	1:36 P.M.
3	-000-
4	MR. LARSEN: Well, good afternoon. Looks
5	like we have a full house here, so we'll go ahead and
6	get started.
7	My name is John Larsen. I'm an Audit Master
8	with the Department of Revenue in the Oil and Gas Tax
9	Division. And welcome to today's workshop on oil and
10	gas exploration, production and pipeline
11	transportation property tax under Title 15, Chapter 56
12	of the Alaska Administrative Code.
13	The purpose of the meeting here today is to
14	receive public comment from interested parties
15	regarding possible changes to existing regulations
16	prior to drafting any regulations to be proposed.
17	I want to stress this is not a public
18	hearing, and that there will be a chance for
19	additional comment once any regulations to be proposed
20	have been drafted and publicly noticed.
21	But anyway, prior to starting the procedures
22	here today, just some administrative things to take
23	care of. In the event of a fire, out the door,
24	outside. They would like us to gather in the parking
25	lot over by 9th and D, kind of by the tennis courts

- 1 there, so that we can account for everybody and make
- 2 sure that you're out of the building. We have a
- 3 sign-in list. Hopefully everybody signed in on their
- 4 way in. And if you see me on the way over there, I
- 5 can tick you off so you don't have to walk all the way
- 6 over to the parking lots.
- 7 The restrooms, if you need to use those, are
- 8 right out the door past the guard desk to your right
- 9 and all the way to the end of the hall.
- 10 If you have any electronic devices here,
- 11 please turn them off.
- 12 If you're on the phone, and especially if
- 13 you're using your cell phone, put that on mute. And
- 14 if you're using your desk phone and you go away,
- 15 please don't put us on hold and then leave. If you
- 16 have to leave, turn your phone off and then come back
- 17 later. We had an incident in our first session this
- 18 morning where I think somebody went on hold and we had
- 19 hold music playing to us as we were trying to get
- 20 testimony. So once again, you if do have to leave,
- 21 please hang up and come back again.
- 22 On the sign-in sheet, I asked if there was
- 23 anybody that wanted to be added to the mailing list.
- 24 So if you did say yes to that, please make sure that
- 25 you wrote legibly so that I will get the correct

- 1 address.
- 2 Also note that when we sign you in for that,
- 3 you will get a confirmation, and you have to respond
- 4 to that confirmation in order to get activated on the
- 5 mailing list. There's also a link on our website that
- 6 you can go to and take care of that yourself.
- 7 So prior to us starting the testimony, let's
- 8 go ahead and go around the room and we'll introduce
- 9 everybody here in the room first, and then we'll go to
- 10 the phone lines.
- 11 My name is John Larsen. I'm an Audit Master
- 12 with the Department of Revenue.
- MR. DEES: My name is Lennie Dees. I'm an
- 14 Audit Master with the Department of Revenue.
- 15 MR. SCHULTZ: My name is Martin Schultz. I'm
- 16 an Assistant Attorney General with the State of
- 17 Alaska.
- 18 MR. CALTAGIRONE: Peter Caltagirone,
- 19 Assistant Attorney General, State of Alaska.
- 20 MR. BRENA: Robin Brena, here on behalf of
- 21 Valdez and Fairbanks.
- 22 MR. WAKELAND: Jack Wakeland on behalf of
- 23 Valdez and Fairbanks.
- MS. LOFGREN: Joyce Lofgren, Department of
- 25 Revenue.

Tim Jones, Glacier Oil & Gas. 1 MR. JONES: 2 MR. DICKINSON: Dan Dickinson, BDO, LLP, SA. 3 MR. HURLEY: Michael Hurley with 4 ConocoPhillips. MS. STODDARD: Gretchen Stoddard, public. 5 6 MR. McGEE: Marty McGee, State assessor. The 7 other State assessor. 8 MR. WILLIAMS: Tom Williams with BP. 9 MR. MAHONEY: Steve Mahoney, Manley & 10 Brautigam. 11 MR. FARLEY: Felipe Farley, Borough attorney, 12 North Slope Borough. 13 MR. STEMP: Andrew Stemp, the North Slope 14 Borough. 15 MS. BROWN: Molly Brown from Dillon & 16 Findley. 17 MS. NARDIN: Melody Nardin. 18 MR. LARSEN: Pardon me. I couldn't --19 MS. NARDIN: Melody Nardin, Brena, Bell & 20 Clarkson. 21 MR. LARSEN: Okay. Thanks, Melody. 22 MR. ALPER: Ken Alper --23 MR. BITNEY: John -- John Bitney. 24 MR. ALPER: Oh, sorry. 25 MR. BITNEY: Here for myself.

1 MS. DALTON: Kathleen Dalton, DOR. 2 MR. LARSEN: And on the phone, please. 3 MR. GIESLER: Carl --4 MS. MAXWELL: Brenda Maxwell, ASRC 5 Exploration. MR. GIESLER: Carl Giesler, with Glacier Oil. 6 7 MS. DOLAN: Jill Dolan, Fairbanks North Star Borough. 8 9 MR. KELLEY: Wayne Kelley, with RSK. 10 MR. OLEMAUN: Forrest Olemaun, chief administrative officer for the North Slope Borough. 11 12 MS. GRAMLING: Mary Gramling, Department of 13 Law. 14 MR. LARSEN: And anyone else on the phone 15 lines? Okay. Thanks. As previously stated, the Department is 16 17 holding this workshop to get input and suggestions on regulations that may need to be amended, implemented 18 19 or repealed. 20 While the workshop announcement identified certain regulations, such as those related to the 21 22 municipal tax cap replacement cost and intangible drilling expense, the Department is also accepting 23 24 comment on other areas that may need to be addressed 25 to clarify, conform to existing statutes.

Just to give everybody here an idea of the 1 2 timeline, our goal is, to the extent possible, to have 3 any regulations that come out of this process in 4 effect on January 1st, 2017. And so as the workshop 5 notice indicated, we would like to have any written comments received by the close of business on Tuesday, 6 October 16th, 2016. Following that, we'll --7 MR. DEES: August. 8 9 MR. LARSEN: Excuse me. August? 10 MR. DEES: Yes. 11 MR. LARSEN: Sorry. Thank you. August 16th. 12 Thank you. 13 Following that, we'll begin the regulations 14 drafting process, and our goal is to have any 15 regulations that we intend to propose publicly noticed by mid-September, probably trying to target the 16 17 September 15th -- September 19th date. 18 And once the regulations have been publicly 19 noticed, then there will be further opportunity for 20 public comment at that time. 21 And so as you know, we -- or as stated, there's no regulations that have been drafted at this 22 23 point in time for you to comment on. It's kind of an 24 open forum for the public to provide comment on 25 regulations related to property tax that the public or

- 1 interested parties feel need to be implemented or
- 2 amended at this time.
- 3 So having said that, is there anyone that
- 4 would like -- and I'm sorry. One more thing. When
- 5 you do speak, we would like you to use the microphone
- 6 here so that we can get a transcription of the
- 7 proceedings. And that will be made available on our
- 8 website as well.
- 9 So when you come up, please identify your
- 10 name and your affiliation. And if there's anybody
- 11 that would like to volunteer to go first, we're taking
- 12 volunteers. Thanks.
- I know we have some representatives here from
- 14 all parties. So if there's any comment that people
- 15 would like to make, we'd certainly like to get those
- on the record at this point in time.
- 17 I'll be here till 2:30 regardless --
- 18 (laughter) -- of whether people have anything to say
- 19 or not, as indicated in the scoping notice. In case
- 20 someone comes late, there will be opportunity for them
- 21 or anyone else to speak later.
- 22 But nobody has anything that they want to add
- 23 or suggest for the proceedings? I hate --
- 24 MR. ALPER: This is a bigger crowd than the
- 25 morning crowd that turned out for the oil and gas

- 1 taxpayer bill, but it's a guieter crowd.
- 2 MR. LARSEN: I hate to waste all this
- 3 valuable time we have sitting here in the forum.
- 4 MR. BRENA: I have a couple things to offer,
- 5 but I wasn't in a big hurry to be first.
- 6 MR. LARSEN: Okay. Would you mind coming up
- 7 and using the microphone?
- 8 MR. BRENA: I'm happy to.
- 9 MR. LARSEN: Thank you, Mr. Brena. And I
- 10 wish I had an award for going first, but --
- 11 MR. BRENA: Yeah.
- 12 MR. LARSEN: -- you know, with the State
- 13 budget right now, things are fairly tight.
- MR. BRENA: My name is Robin Brena, and I'm
- 15 here -- I'm an attorney with Brena, Bell & Clarkson,
- 16 and I'm here today on behalf of Valdez and Fairbanks
- 17 North Star Borough.
- 18 I guess there are a couple reg changes that I
- 19 think that you have to make. There has been a Supreme
- 20 Court decision with regard to the scope of SARB's
- 21 jurisdiction, and 15 AAC 56.015 has an alternative
- 22 appeal path for taxability issues, which the Alaska
- 23 Supreme Court has held to be inconsistent with the
- 24 underlying statute, and I believe needs to be repealed
- 25 or changed.

- Also, I would just note that, to some degree,
- 2 15 AAC 56.070, which concerns the tax cap of the
- 3 Municipality, the underlying statute has changed since
- 4 the regulation was adopted to adopt tiers, depending
- 5 on -- in the calculation of the tax cap, depending on
- 6 the mill rate with -- used to be 225. And that's what
- 7 the current regulation provides. And the statute now
- 8 provides for three tiers, 225, 300 and 375, depending
- 9 on the underlying mill rate. So I think you need to
- 10 harmonize 15 AAC 56.070. I think you need to
- 11 harmonize it with the existing statutory change.
- 12 Those are changes that I think that you -- that you
- 13 have to make.
- 14 I noticed in your -- and then just a few
- 15 brief comments. I noticed a relatively tight
- 16 timeframe, and there's lots of potential issues that
- 17 have been litigated for a decade now that could come
- 18 up in these conversations.
- 19 I would encourage you to approach this
- 20 narrowly, rather than broadly. I think, you know, at
- 21 least from my point of view, you have a settlement in
- 22 place. And if all the parties who went through a
- 23 tremendous effort to reach a settlement with regard to
- 24 some of these underlying issues, if, in effect, this
- 25 reg process is an opportunity for any party or the

- 1 State to just advance litigation positions that have
- 2 just been settled, then I don't think that's a very
- 3 helpful exercise, and I think that it undermines the
- 4 integrity of the settlement that the State just
- 5 entered into.
- 6 So I would say please don't use this
- 7 regulation process as an opportunity to, through
- 8 regulations, try to finish the -- sort of finish the
- 9 litigation based on where it stood. Just allow it --
- 10 I mean, I don't think that that would be -- I don't
- 11 think that would be in good faith on anybody's part to
- 12 agree to settle and have a standstill for five years
- 13 just to have -- be sitting here effectively
- 14 relitigating the same issues through a regulatory
- 15 process. That would certainly affect my client's
- 16 assessment of whether it made sense to settle with the
- 17 parties in the future if that's what this is to turn
- 18 into.
- 19 I think that's a particular risk with regard
- 20 to proven reserves. The State has advanced a proven
- 21 reserves position 17 or 18 times, and it has never
- 22 been successful. The Supreme Court has ruled twice on
- 23 it. And so please don't use the regulatory process,
- 24 after we settle, as an opportunity to reopen the
- 25 State's failed litigation positions with regard to

- 1 proven reserves. I don't think that would be helpful,
- 2 and I don't think that would be in good faith.
- 3 With regard to the duration of replacement
- 4 cost, I'd say similar comments on that. It's not
- 5 clear what you mean by "replacement cost." If what
- 6 you -- because you use replacement cost in one place
- 7 in your notice and replacement value in another place
- 8 in your notice, so it's not clear whether you mean
- 9 RCN, replacement cost new, or you mean RCNLD,
- 10 replacement cost new less depreciation, or the total
- 11 assessment.
- 12 If what you mean is RCNLD, the total
- 13 assessment, I would point out that the statutory
- 14 scheme is an annual one, and so the durability -- I
- 15 don't think you should suggest a duration different
- 16 than your statutory scheme implements.
- 17 If you mean replacement cost studies,
- 18 because, frankly, all the parties are trying to figure
- 19 out how to disagree in a less litigation-intensive
- 20 fashion than we have in the past, if you mean -- if
- 21 you mean RCNs, we have a cost study, so what do you do
- 22 with that cost study?
- 23 The State has advanced the position in the
- 24 past that why don't we just index a cost study once
- 25 it's signed off on, and we'll just index it for a

- 1 number of years, that kind of approach. Then there
- 2 are independent -- you're reopening a litigation issue
- 3 that we just settled, and I would hope that you
- 4 wouldn't do that.
- 5 With regard to that particular concept, the
- 6 courts have held that they should consider -- people
- 7 should consider the best evidence available as to
- 8 value, and I would counsel against suggesting any
- 9 regulation that suggests anything less -- anything
- 10 other than the best evidence.
- 11 The Court has also rejected indexing cost
- 12 studies, and relevant treatises provide that you do
- 13 not index an estimate. You index an original cost.
- 14 So the State's litigation position, if that's what's
- intended to be scoped here, is inconsistent with the
- 16 holdings of the courts, it's inconsistent with the
- 17 treatises, and it would, I think, not be very good
- 18 faith to reopen a litigation position after settling.
- 19 So those are -- with regard to the -- so
- 20 those are two examples. So I would -- in summary, I
- 21 would counsel you there's certain things you have to
- 22 do. Please bear in mind that we're all at a
- 23 standstill, and let's not have this be an opportunity
- 24 to reopen the standstill afterwards, or this isn't
- 25 going to work out well for anybody.

And with regard to those particular issues, 1 2 please don't allow it to become a process so the State 3 can continue to advance failed litigation positions through a reg process right after they settle a case. 4 5 Do you have any questions you would like to ask me? Otherwise, I will go over there and sit down 6 and shut up. 7 MR. LARSEN: No, I don't have any questions 8 at this time, and I appreciate your comments. Thanks. 9 10 MR. BRENA: Okay. MR. LARSEN: And you don't have to shut up. 11 12 You're welcome to pipe back in later on if you want 13 to. 14 Is there anyone else? Thank you. 15 MS. BROWN: Good afternoon. My name is Molly Brown, and I am an attorney from Dillon & Findley, and 16 17 I represent the North Slope Borough. And the North Slope Borough representatives, some of which are on 18 19 the phone, and some -- and two of whom are here with 20 me today might have some further comments. I just wanted to support Mr. Brena's comment 21 22 regarding changes to 15 AAC 56.015 as it relates to taxability appeals. The Alaska Supreme Court resolved 23 24 that issue in February, with a formal decision, I

think, in May. And I think all that needs to be

25

- 1 changed, and we will propose these regulatory changes
- 2 in writing, is to delete Sections (b), (c), (d) of
- 3 that regulation. That would eliminate the procedure
- 4 that would take a taxability appeal through the Office
- 5 of Administrative Hearings.
- 6 The Borough is also very interested in any
- 7 changes to 15 AAC 56.120, which is the regulation
- 8 related to intangible drilling expenses. As the
- 9 Department of Revenue knows, and as those sitting in
- 10 this room know, that the intangible drilling expense
- 11 issue has been litigated twice, once before the Office
- 12 of Administrative Hearings and once before the State
- 13 Assessment Review Board this May, and is now pending
- 14 before the Alaska Superior Court [as spoken] on
- 15 appeal. So we will be submitting our comments in
- 16 writing on Tuesday.
- 17 Besides that, I don't have any additional
- 18 comments and -- except to say that on behalf of the
- 19 Borough, we fully support the Department of Revenue
- 20 making changes to regulations to conform to the Alaska
- 21 Supreme Court decisions that have been issued as a
- 22 result of the TAPS litigation and as a result of the
- 23 jurisdiction litigation in 15 AAC 56.015, which I just
- 24 discussed.
- We join in the comments made by Mr. Brena

- 1 regarding some of the issues that are contained in the
- 2 official notice for today's workshop, the issues that
- 3 have been resolved in litigation and that, you know,
- 4 were resolved as they related to the settlement
- 5 agreement, not be disturbed at this point through the
- 6 regulatory process.
- 7 The Borough derives almost all of its
- 8 operating revenue from oil and gas property tax and is
- 9 interested in a system that is fair and is equal, and
- 10 will join in any changes that advance that. But to
- 11 change the issues that have been litigated and
- 12 resolved in the past through the regulatory process is
- 13 something that is of great concern to the Borough.
- MR. LARSEN: Thank you, Ms. Brown.
- 15 And there's someone on the phone line that
- 16 has their phone on, and we can hear you typing in the
- 17 background noise. If you can go on mute, we would
- 18 appreciate that. Thank you.
- 19 Would anyone else like to speak next?
- 20 MR. MAHONEY: My name is Steve Mahoney. I'm
- 21 with Manley Brautigam. We're not representing any
- 22 specific client at this point. I'm speaking as having
- 23 been a member of extended litigation with regard to
- 24 property tax and a property tax filer since about 1979
- 25 in the state of Alaska.

1	I would recommend to the Department that they
2	do take a considered and strong look at providing
3	guidance with regard to certain issues that remain
4	open. I hate to consider that a settlement agreement
5	on one piece or one asset, taxable asset, would drive
6	the lack of activity or positioning of the Department
7	with regard to its interpretations of the statutes.
8	There are many, many, many assets all over
9	the state which would be impacted by changes to the
10	terms that have been defined in the notice, and it
11	behooves the Department to provide clarity, in
12	essence, to reduce the amount of litigation that's
13	happened over the past decade with regard to proven
14	reserves, having some standard to calculate and
15	understand, as a taxpayer, what proven reserves are so
16	that a legitimate, reasonable and rational forwarding
17	of a value can be applied with a calculation that
18	makes sense when you're looking at the life of an
19	asset.
20	With regard to production assets and
21	transportation assets, proven reserves is a necessary
22	and important part of the calculation of its value.
23	Right now the only definition of "proven
24	reserves" and it's not actually a definition of
25	"proven reserves," but how to calculate the proven

- 1 reserves is found in the regulation with regard to
- 2 production equipment. And that essentially just says
- 3 look to engineering standards, calculated using
- 4 engineering standards.
- 5 A more definitive definition of "proven
- 6 reserves" would be more fair to all parties. It would
- 7 be more reasonable, less apt to be in controversy; and
- 8 providing as clear and clean a definition as possible
- 9 would behoove all parties.
- With regard to IDC, intangible drilling
- 11 expenses, the definition in the regulation is
- 12 different than the definition in the statute. What
- 13 that definition is, what it means is currently the
- 14 subject of litigation.
- 15 Three cases currently outstanding in Superior
- 16 Court are being consolidated at least into two, maybe
- 17 one case. Summary judgment motions with regard to
- 18 that definition have been filed. I think it might be
- 19 premature, and it might be problematic as well in
- 20 litigation positioning, both for federal and state
- 21 purposes, if the Department were to amend or adjust
- 22 the current regulations. Whether or not various
- 23 taxpayers believe those regulations don't properly
- 24 define IDC, or intangible drilling expenses, as
- 25 those -- that word is used or term is defined.

To change the regulation again would only be 1 2 problematic, in terms of valuations, in at least 2017, 3 likely 2018. This issue will be resolved by the 4 Supreme Court one way or the other. It might take two 5 years plus to do that, given our current timeframes, but at the same time it is being litigated; it will be 6 resolved in terms of the statutory definition. And I 7 think at this point it would be premature and 8 problematic to try to define that currently. 9 10 With regard to the calculation of the definition of the duration of replacement costs, 11 12 whether you consider it replacement cost new, 13 replacement cost new less depreciation or your 14 definition, again a standard calculation with some 15 form of calculable objective standard for that valuation over a period of time behooves the process 16 17 of properly rendering values and having the assessor 18 apply those values for full and true value. 19 So I would state that any effort, in terms of 20 getting those definitions more objectively defined, would forward the process of getting these assessments 21 properly put together for full and true value and then 22 23 less litigation and less controversy forward. 24 Thank you. 25 MR. LARSEN: Thank you, Mr. Mahoney.

Anyone else here in the audience that would 1 2 like to provide comment here today? 3 Okay. How about on the phone lines? 4 there anybody that would like to enter anything into 5 the record here for us today? MS. GRAMLING: John, this is Mary Gramling 6 7 with the Department of Law. MR. LARSEN: Yes, Mary. 8 9 MS. GRAMLING: I just wanted to put out 10 there, in case you didn't mention it at the start, that "any written comments received will be public" 11 does not include the information that might be 12 13 taxpayer confidential or proprietary in any way. 14 MR. LARSEN: Thank you, Mary, for that 15 reminder. And for anybody that wasn't able to hear that 16 17 clearly, is that when you submit your written comments, that they are public comments, so be sure to 18 not include any confidential or proprietary 19 20 information in anything that you submit to the Department here. It will be made public. 21 22 Yes, sir. 23 MR. WILLIAMS: May I --24 MR. LARSEN: Yes, sir, please. 25 MR. WILLIAMS: For the record, my name is Tom

- 1 Williams. I work for BP as a tax attorney. I do not
- 2 have any responsibilities with respect to ad valorem
- 3 tax for BP.
- 4 I'm chairman of the tax committee of the
- 5 Alaska Oil and Gas Association, but because there's no
- 6 proposal here, the Alaska Oil and Gas Association has
- 7 no specific proposal or comments to make.
- I decided initially not to speak, but I am
- 9 going to speak just to provide some historical
- 10 context, because sometimes there are good ideas that
- 11 get forgotten.
- I came to the state in August of 1973, just
- 13 before the 1973 special session, where this tax was
- 14 enacted. And my area of involvement was royalties
- 15 from the Cook Inlet and production taxes from the Cook
- 16 Inlet. But in the course of going through the State's
- 17 records about its royalty administration and its tax
- 18 administration with respect to the Cook Inlet, we --
- 19 Wilson Condon and I compiled a lot of information
- 20 about what people had thought and what they did and
- 21 that sort of stuff.
- 22 Governor Egan, in 1972, got concerned because
- 23 the cost of the oil pipeline, which was originally
- 24 estimated to be \$900 million, was approaching
- 25 3 billion, and during the course of that year the

- 1 estimate, I believe, crossed \$3 billion. Obviously
- 2 that wasn't close to the final number.
- 3 But he was concerned in a world of
- 4 three-dollar oil, and the posted price for oil in
- 5 Swanson River, which was the only production Alaska
- 6 had, was \$3.04. And -- or maybe it was a nickel. But
- 7 it was just over three dollars. And he was afraid
- 8 that the Pipeline costing that much, there would be no
- 9 wellhead value left, that the tariff would eat that
- 10 all up.
- One of the things he wanted to do was have a
- 12 tax on the Pipeline so that if it was eating up all
- 13 the wellhead value through the tariffs that would be
- 14 charged, the State would still have something to show
- 15 for it, a nonrenewable resource that was going to be
- 16 coming out of its land and going down the Pipeline and
- 17 gone forever.
- 18 So he introduced, in 1972, House Bill 806.
- 19 It was a tax on the Pipeline and on field equipment,
- 20 but primarily the Pipeline. And it didn't pass. It
- 21 didn't -- it was one of a few times Governor Egan
- 22 actually introduced a bill that he didn't get, because
- 23 ordinarily when he spoke to the legislature and said
- 24 jump, they didn't say why. They said how high.
- 25 And he wanted the tax, and he didn't get it.

- 1 And it was because the Municipality said this is our
- 2 tax, and you can't take this away from us. We
- 3 administer property taxes.
- 4 So the State instead passed a scheme of
- 5 pipeline regulation about the tariffs, where, as a
- 6 condition of the right-of-way lease across State lands
- 7 for the Pipeline, the owners of the Pipeline would
- 8 covenant and agree to be regulated for their tariffs
- 9 by the State.
- 10 And there was also legislation passed that
- 11 said we're going to have a high cents-per-barrel
- 12 production tax with a credit against it for the oil
- 13 royalties that you pay to the State. The effect of
- 14 the royalty credit was to set a floor on the combined
- 15 royalty and production tax revenues of about \$1.51,
- 16 half of the prevailing price but a lot better than
- 17 zero, which is what the Governor was thinking of.
- 18 That passed in 1972 and became the subject of
- 19 litigation. Both of those did. The contractual
- 20 regulation of the Pipeline and the cents-per-barrel
- 21 royalty credit tax. And it became embroiled in
- 22 litigation.
- 23 And it might still be in litigation today,
- 24 except for the fact that on July 6 or 9 -- I can't
- 25 remember which way it is -- Senator Henry Jackson said

- 1 that we're getting ready to vote on the federal
- 2 pipeline right-of-way, and if Alaska doesn't have its
- 3 act together -- you know, this land is only T8 --
- 4 we'll take it back. We'll take this to federal court,
- 5 and the State won't have anything for it, and we'll
- 6 get this thing built.
- 7 And Governor Egan took that threat seriously
- 8 and called a special session. And one of the things
- 9 that happened before the special session was there was
- 10 an agreement to resolve the litigation. If the
- 11 cents-per-barrel tax or the royalty credit were
- 12 repealed and replaced with something else, and if
- 13 there were a tax on the Pipeline that the Governor
- 14 wanted that's shared with Municipalities, the
- 15 revenues, all that was sort of agreed between and
- 16 among the plaintiffs in that litigation, which were
- 17 the North Slope royalty -- or lessees -- they didn't
- 18 have royalties yet that they were going to pay; we
- 19 just had the leases -- and the State of Alaska.
- 20 And the Municipalities, because they had had
- 21 the votes before to block legislation, wanted to keep
- 22 that there. So they wanted to keep themselves, that
- 23 is to say, as players in this.
- 24 So you ended up with a grand settlement, and
- 25 this legislation is one of the bills that came out of

- 1 the special session. That, in effect, is a
- 2 settlement.
- Now, that doesn't mean that it's -- the
- 4 constitution provides specific ways to contract and
- 5 limit its taxing power, and a settlement probably is
- 6 not one of them. But there is a settlement -- there
- 7 was a settlement there that was created.
- 8 And one of the problems that you have with a
- 9 tax on a pipeline or on oilfield equipment that makes
- 10 it different from a tax here in the city of Anchorage
- is, in my neighborhood, there are houses for sale
- 12 every year. The assessor gets the data from those
- 13 sales. He knows whether they're the same square
- 14 footage as mine. He knows whether they're built at
- 15 the same time. He's got lots of empirical data that
- 16 he can use to benchmark my house against and come up
- 17 with an assessed value.
- 18 So the tax works well because there's a lot
- 19 of objective data about the value that a willing buyer
- 20 and a willing seller, in fact, are agreeing and paying
- 21 to one another, or accepting payment thereof.
- 22 And we don't have those, and so this tax has
- 23 always been fraught with a question of how are we
- 24 going to deal with this?
- Now, I'm not here to offer or to take a

- 1 position about how that should be done. There are
- 2 lots of people in this room who know far more about
- 3 the ins and outs of that issue than I could ever want
- 4 to try to learn in the remaining time I have left.
- 5 But the point so much isn't about how it
- 6 should be done, but I agree that there are a couple
- 7 things that you do want to be sensitive to. One is
- 8 where you have an adjudication. Then you have to --
- 9 if that's -- if your regulations are inconsistent with
- 10 an adjudicated decision by -- especially by the Alaska
- 11 Supreme Court, where it's final and no longer subject
- 12 to appeal, then that's the law. And your job is to
- 13 have regulations that do not become inconsistent with
- 14 what the law is.
- Where you have a settlement, I think that
- 16 what you want to do is refrain from adopting
- 17 regulations that will upset that settlement, and the
- 18 only exception is if you've got some compelling strong
- 19 reason to do so, for whatever -- whatever that is.
- Now this tax has evolved a great deal, this
- 21 particular property tax, from when it was first
- 22 passed. When I got to administer it when I left the
- 23 AG's office, I -- trying -- was then called the pat
- 24 rev division, and I supervised the first assessment of
- 25 TAPS when it came onstream.

- And I supervised the reserves tax when we
- 2 valued reserves on the basis of their economic value,
- 3 not on how many MCFs were down in the ground. And,
- 4 you know, you can make those things work.
- 5 But, again, the point is you have to have
- 6 people who can try to understand from both sides of
- 7 the table, because each side has a different
- 8 perspective. And where they have reached agreement,
- 9 they should be reluctant to overturn it, as I say,
- 10 without a good reason.
- 11 So I don't -- don't have anything to say,
- 12 other than -- about how this tax should work, other
- 13 than, you know, you should not interfere with ongoing
- 14 litigation. The Courts will decide that in due
- 15 course. I think it's inappropriate use of the
- 16 sovereign taxation power to try to force a resolution
- 17 of a dispute through retroactive action by regulation
- 18 and leave the settlements alone.
- 19 Initially 80 percent of this tax went to the
- 20 State and about 20 was being collected by the
- 21 Municipalities. And we have seen over time that the
- 22 condition has changed, and this is now reversed.
- I'm not saying, again, that's a good thing or
- 24 a bad thing. It is what happened, and the law has
- 25 allowed it. It's not for us, in this proceeding, to

- 1 try to alter that outcome.
- I think what you need to do is -- sometimes
- 3 "he who governs least governs best." And in this
- 4 case, where you have things that do need to be
- 5 changed, you should, but I think, especially with
- 6 respect to settlements or trying to determine outcomes
- 7 of litigation, both of those are bad businesses to try
- 8 and get into.
- 9 There's a lot of history here. It's not
- 10 always relevant, but it is important to the
- 11 institutions to -- that are at these tables, because
- 12 the North Slope Borough was there from the beginning
- 13 of its creation, and the Kenai Peninsula Borough was
- 14 there, and BP, through its alter ego, Sohio, was
- 15 there, and now is also there -- is there directly, and
- 16 all the other companies that own parts of TAPS, or
- 17 formerly owned parts of TAPS, or the fields, have all
- 18 come through this together.
- 19 And, you know, it's -- it would be nice if
- 20 there weren't any disputes, but I suppose that's a
- 21 naive hope, because the dollars are too much.
- But, again, I think just be cautious, is all
- 23 I can say. Recommend the -- or recognize the context
- 24 in which this tax originated and its success in
- 25 functioning for the great majority of the time it's

- 1 been in place, not that it's been dispute-free, but it
- 2 has been successful.
- 3 And I think people are still collecting the
- 4 money, and people are still able to reach agreements
- 5 about what they owe and what their obligations will
- 6 be. And so it's not a failure, and don't give up on
- 7 that.
- 8 MR. LARSEN: Thanks, Tom. Appreciate your
- 9 perspective there.
- 10 Well, we certainly gained some momentum once
- 11 we had our initial volunteer, and for that I
- 12 appreciate everybody's comments.
- I don't know if people want to take a few
- 14 moments and reflect and see if there's any additional
- 15 comment that they would like to add. We can certainly
- 16 take a break if people want to have a sidebar and
- 17 communicate with some of their counsel and co-workers,
- 18 but if this is -- if people have made all the
- 19 statements that they believe are pertinent and need to
- 20 be made, then, as I stated earlier, I don't want to
- 21 waste anybody's valuable time here just sitting around
- 22 waiting.
- 23 But I think we have had some excellent
- 24 comments here today, and so I wouldn't want to cut
- 25 them short if there are things that people would like

- to add to the record here.Marty.
- 3 MR. McGEE: I originally had not intended to
- 4 speak at this meeting. My name is Marty McGee, and
- 5 I'm currently the state assessor in the Department of
- 6 Commerce, so I don't have any particular comment in
- 7 terms of that role.
- 8 But historically I've played a role in a lot
- 9 of different aspects of the administration of this tax
- 10 and the use of these regulations. And Tom's comments
- 11 kind of provoked me to make a comment.
- One of the things that's of great interest to
- 13 me is the administrative process and having systems,
- 14 tax systems that can be administrated which minimize
- 15 conflict and produce a mechanism for the resolution of
- 16 conflict.
- 17 So my roles have been multiple. I've been a
- 18 local Municipal assessor for quite some time in
- 19 Anchorage. I've played a role as the member of the
- 20 panel on SARB, and was the chairman of SARB for
- 21 several years. And now I'm looking at the world from
- 22 the perspective of State government and the
- 23 administration of State government, and I likely will
- 24 play a role in several different aspects of these
- 25 regulations.

So I really appreciated Tom's comments and 1 2 the history of what we have got. And I think that 3 ought to be the focus of the regulatory change, is 4 trying to build a system that is administratable, that minimizes conflicts so that -- and provides a 5 mechanism for the resolution of conflicts, where those 6 7 occur. And I think there is room for improvement in 8 9 the regulations that we have in place now. And of 10 course the first emphasis ought to be bringing the current regulations into conformity with the statutes 11 12 as they exist now and with the most recent Supreme 13 Court decisions, especially the Supreme Court 14 decisions, so that they're not inconsistent and 15 logically follow the path. That was the extent of my comment. 16 17 MR. LARSEN: Thank you, Mr. McGee. 18 Any further follow-up here in the room? On the phone lines, is there anybody that 19 20 would like to add additional comment? Hearing none, as I stated earlier, and 21 Okay. in the workshop notice, I'm obligated to be here until 22 2:30, in case anybody would like to show up and 23 24 provide additional testimony. But for now I'm going 25 to go off the record unless somebody comes back into

- 1 the room or on the phone lines, and we'll come back on
- 2 at 2:30 and then close the proceeding if nobody has
- 3 come forth at that time. Thanks.
- 4 I'm going to go ahead and put the phone lines
- on mute for now, and I will come back on at 2:30.
- 6 Thanks.
- 7 (Off record.)
- 8 MR. LARSEN: This is John Larsen. We are
- 9 back on the record here. The time is 2:34.
- I want to thank everyone once again for your
- 11 participation today, and the comments that I hope to
- 12 receive. Just as a reminder, if you want to submit
- 13 comments, you can send them to me at my e-mail, which
- 14 is John.Larsen -- L-a-r-s-e-n -- @Alaska.gov. You can
- 15 also send them to me by regular mail at 550 West
- 16 Seventh Avenue, Suite 500, Anchorage, Alaska 99501, or
- 17 fax to 269-6644.
- 18 All comments submitted will be considered in
- 19 any regulation to be proposed, and as we stated
- 20 previously, that any comments submitted are considered
- 21 public, so please do not submit any confidential or
- 22 proprietary information.
- 23 Once draft regulations have been proposed, a
- 24 further opportunity will be provided once the
- 25 regulations have been publicly noticed.

```
Thank you again for your participation, and I
 1
     look forward to seeing your comments. With that, the
 2
     proceeding is closed. Thanks and good day.
 3
               (Proceedings concluded at 2:36 p.m.)
 4
                              -000-
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, GARY BROOKING, Registered Professional
4	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
5	Alaska, do hereby certify that the foregoing
6	proceedings were taken before me at the time and
7	place herein set forth; that the proceedings were
8	reported stenographically by me and later transcribed
9	by computer transcription; that the foregoing is a
10	true record of the proceedings taken at that time;
11	and that I am not a party to nor have I any
12	interest in the outcome of the action herein
13	contained.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
15	my hand and affixed my seal this 26th day
16	of August, 2016.
17	has 17 wh
18	TY
19	GARY BROOKING, RPR
20	My Commission Expires 6/28/2020
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Index: \$1.51..ahead

PACIFIC RIM REPORTING 907-272-4383

Alaska 3:1,12 5:17,19 10:22 15:23 16:14,20 17:25 22:5,6 23:5 25:2,19 27:10 33:16

allowed 28:25

Alper 6:22,24 9:24

alter 29:1,14

alternative 10:21

amend 19:21

amended 7:18 9:2

amount 18:12

Anchorage 3:1 26:10 31:19

33:16

Andrew 6:13

announcement 7:20

annual 13:14

anybody's 12:11 30:21

appeal 10:22 16:4,15 27:12

appeals 15:23 **applied** 18:17 **apply** 20:18

appreciated 32:1

approach 11:19 14:1

approaching 22:24

apt 19:7 area 22:14 areas 7:24

aspects 31:9,24

ASRC 7:4

assessed 26:17

assessment 12:16 13:11,13

16:13 27:24

assessments 20:21

assessor 6:6,7 20:17 26:12 31:5,

18

asset 18:5,19

assets 18:8,20,21

Assistant 5:16,19

Association 22:5,6

attorney 5:16,19 6:11 10:15 15:16 22:1

audience 21:1

Audit 3:7 5:11,14

August 3:1 8:8,9,11 22:12

Avenue 33:16 **award** 10:10

В

back 4:16,21 15:12 25:4 32:25

33:1,5,9

background 17:17

bad 28:24 29:7based 12:9basis 28:2

BDO 6:2

bear 14:22

begin 8:13

beginning 29:12

behalf 5:20,22 10:16 16:18

behoove 19:9

behooves 18:11 20:16

Bell 6:19 10:15 **benchmark** 26:16

big 10:5

bigger 9:24

bill 10:1 23:18,22

billion 22:25 23:1

bills 25:25

Bitney 6:23,25

block 25:21

Board 16:13

Borough 6:11,12,14 7:8,11 10:17 15:17,18 16:6,19 17:7,13

29:12,13

BP 6:8 22:1,3 29:14

Brautigam 6:10 17:21

break 30:16

Brena 5:20 6:19 10:4,8,9,11,14,

Index: Alaska..Chapter

15 15:10 16:25

Brena's 15:21

Brenda 7:4 bringing 32:10

broadly 11:20

Brown 6:15 15:15,16 17:14

budget 10:13

build 32:4

building 4:2

built 25:6 26:14

business 8:6

businesses 29:7

buyer 26:19

С

calculable 20:15

calculate 18:14,25

calculated 19:3

calculation 11:5 18:17,22 20:10,

14

called 25:8 27:23

Caltagirone 5:18

cap 7:22 11:2,5

care 3:23 5:6

Carl 7:3,6

case 9:19 15:4 19:17 21:10 29:4

32:23

cases 19:15

cautious 29:22

cell 4:13

cents-per-barrel 24:11,20 25:11

chairman 22:4 31:20

chance 3:18

change 11:11 17:11 20:1 32:3

changed 10:25 11:3 16:1 28:22

29:5

Chapter 3:11

Index: charged..dispute-free

charged 23:14 chief 7:10 city 26:10 clarify 7:25 clarity 18:11

Clarkson 6:20 10:15

clean 19:8 clear 13:5,8 19:8 client 17:22 client's 12:15

close 8:6 23:2 33:2

closed 34:3

co-workers 30:17

Code 3:12 collected 28:20 collecting 30:3 combined 24:14

comment 3:14,19 7:24 8:20,23, 24 9:14 15:21 21:2 30:15 31:6,11 32:16.20

comments 8:6 11:15 13:4 15:9, 20 16:15,18,25 21:11,18 22:7 30:12,24 31:10 32:1 33:11,13,18, 20 34:2

Commerce 31:6 committee 22:4

communicate 30:17

companies 29:16 compelling 27:18

compiled 22:19 concept 14:5

concern 17:13

concerned 22:22 23:3

concerns 11:2 concluded 34:4 condition 24:6 28:22

Condon 22:19

confidential 21:13,19 33:21

confirmation 5:3,4

conflict 31:15,16

conflicts 32:5,6

conform 7:25 16:20 **conformity** 32:11

Conocophillips 6:4

considered 18:2 33:18,20

consolidated 19:16 constitution 26:4 contained 17:1

context 22:10 29:23

continue 15:3 contract 26:4

contractual 24:19 controversy 19:7 20:23

conversations 11:18

Cook 22:15,18 correct 4:25

cost 7:22 13:4,5,6,9,10,17,21,22, 24 14:11,13 20:12,13 22:23

costing 23:8 **costs** 20:11

counsel 14:8,21 30:17 **couple** 10:4,18 27:6

court 10:20,23 12:22 14:11 15:23 16:14,21 19:16 20:4 25:4 27:11 32:13

courts 3:25 14:6,16 28:14

covenant 24:8 created 26:7 creation 29:13

credit 24:12,14,21 25:11

crossed 23:1 **crowd** 9:24,25 10:1

current 11:7 19:22 20:5 32:11

cut 30:24

D

Dalton 7:1 Dan 6:2

data 26:12,15,19

date 8:17 day 34:3

deal 26:24 27:20 **decade** 11:17 18:13

decide 28:14 decided 22:8

decision 10:20 15:24 27:10 **decisions** 16:21 32:13,14

Dees 5:13 8:8,10 **define** 19:24 20:9

defined 18:10 19:25 20:20

definition 18:23,24 19:5,8,11,12, 13,18 20:7,11,14

definitions 20:20 definitive 19:5 degree 11:1 delete 16:2

Department 3:8 5:12,14,24 7:12, 16,23 16:9,19 18:1,6,11 19:21

21:7,21 31:5

depending 11:4,5,8 **depreciation** 13:10 20:13

derives 17:7 desk 4:8,14 determine 29:6 devices 4:10 Dickinson 6:2 Dillon 6:15 15:16 directly 29:15 disagree 13:19 discussed 16:24 dispute 28:17

dispute-free 30:1

PACIFIC RIM REPORTING
907-272-4383

fax 33:17 disputes 29:20 engineering 19:3,4 disturbed 17:5 **enter** 21:4 February 15:24 division 3:9 27:24 entered 12:5 federal 19:20 25:1,4 Dolan 7:7 **equal** 17:9 **feel** 9:1 dollars 23:7 29:21 Felipe 6:11 equipment 19:2 23:19 26:9 door 3:23 4:8 essence 18:12 field 23:19 **DOR** 7:1 essentially 19:2 fields 29:17 draft 33:23 estimate 14:13 23:1 **figure** 13:18 drafted 3:20 8:22 estimated 22:24 **filed** 19:18 filer 17:24 drafting 3:16 8:14 **event** 3:23 drilling 7:23 16:8,10 19:10,24 everybody's 30:12 final 23:2 27:11 drive 18:5 **evidence** 14:7,10 Findley 6:16 15:16 due 28:14 **finish** 12:8 evolved 27:20 fire 3:23 durability 13:14 examples 14:20 duration 13:3,15 20:11 excellent 30:23 floor 24:14 focus 32:3 exception 27:18 Ε Excuse 8:9 **follow** 32:15 exercise 12:3 **follow-up** 32:18 **e-mail** 33:13 **exist** 32:12 footage 26:14 earlier 30:20 32:21 existing 3:15 7:25 11:11 force 28:16 eat 23:9 **expense** 7:23 16:10 forever 23:17 **eating** 23:12 **expenses** 16:8 19:11,24 forgotten 22:11 economic 28:2 exploration 3:10 7:5 form 20:15 effect 8:4 11:24 24:13 26:1 extended 17:23 formal 15:24 effectively 12:13 extent 8:2 32:16 Forrest 7:10 effort 11:23 20:19 **forum** 8:24 10:3 Egan 22:22 23:21 25:7 forward 20:21,23 34:2 ego 29:14 forwarding 18:16 electronic 4:10 fact 24:24 26:20 **found** 19:1 eliminate 16:3 failed 12:25 15:3 **frankly** 13:18 embroiled 24:21 failure 30:6 fraught 26:23 emphasis 32:10 fair 17:9 19:6 FRIDAY 3:1 empirical 26:15 **Fairbanks** 5:21,23 7:7 10:16 full 3:5 20:18,22 enacted 22:14 **fairly** 10:13 **fully** 16:19 faith 12:11 13:2 14:18 encourage 11:19 functioning 29:25 **end** 4:9 Farley 6:11 **future** 12:17 ended 25:24 fashion 13:20

Index: disputes..future

initially 22:8 28:19 helpful 12:3 13:1 G Henry 24:25 Inlet 22:15,16,18 **high** 23:24 24:11 **input** 7:17 gained 30:10 historical 22:9 ins 27:3 gas 3:8,10 6:1 9:25 17:8 22:5,6 historically 31:8 institutions 29:11 gather 3:24 **history** 29:9 32:2 intangible 7:22 16:8,10 19:10,24 **General** 5:16,19 **hold** 4:15,18,19 integrity 12:4 Giesler 7:3,6 holding 7:17 intend 8:15 give 8:1 30:6 intended 14:15 31:3 holdings 14:16 **Glacier** 6:1 7:6 interest 31:12 hope 14:3 29:21 33:11 goal 8:2,14 interested 3:14 9:1 16:6 17:9 **house** 3:5 23:18 26:16 **good** 3:4 12:11 13:2 14:17 15:15 22:10 28:10,23 34:3 **houses** 26:11 interfere 28:13 government 31:22,23 Hurley 6:3 interpretations 18:7 Governor 22:22 23:21 24:17 introduce 5:8 **hurry** 10:5 25:7,13 introduced 23:18.22 governs 29:3 Т involvement 22:14 **Gramling** 7:12 21:6,9 **issue** 14:2 15:24 16:11 20:3 27:3 **IDC** 19:10.24 **grand** 25:24 **issued** 16:21 **idea** 8:1 great 17:13 27:20 29:25 31:12 issues 10:22 11:16,24 12:14 15:1 ideas 22:10 Gretchen 6:5 17:1,2,11 18:3 identified 7:20 ground 28:3 identify 9:9 J guard 4:8 impacted 18:9 **guess** 10:18 Jack 5:22 implemented 7:18 9:1 guidance 18:3 Jackson 24:25 implements 13:16 January 8:4 Н important 18:22 29:10 Jill 7:7 improvement 32:8 **half** 24:16 **job** 27:12 inappropriate 28:15 **hall** 4:9 incident 4:17

hang 4:21 happened 18:13 25:9 28:24

happy 10:8 **harmonize** 11:10,11

hate 9:23 10:2 18:4 hear 17:16 21:16 hearing 3:18 32:21 **Hearings** 16:5,12

held 10:23 14:6

include 21:12.19 inconsistent 10:23 14:15,16 27:9,13 32:14 independent 14:2 index 13:24,25 14:13 indexing 14:11 information 21:12,20 22:19 33:22

initial 30:11

John 3:7 5:11 6:23 21:6 33:8 John.larsen 33:14 ioin 16:25 17:10 Jones 6:1 Joyce 5:24 judgment 19:17 **July** 24:24 jump 23:24 jurisdiction 10:21 16:23

Κ

20:25 21:8,14,24 30:8 32:17 33:8

Index: Kathleen..number microphone 9:5 10:7 19:14,20 20:23 24:19,22,23 25:10.16 28:14 29:7

mid-september 8:16 litigation-intensive 13:19

Kathleen 7:1 **LLP** 6:2 million 22:24 Kelley 7:9 local 31:18 **Ken** 6:22 Lofgren 5:24

Kenai 29:13 logically 32:15 **kind** 3:25 8:23 14:1 31:11

L

31:8

L-a-r-s-e-n 33:14 lack 18:6

land 23:16 25:3 lands 24:6 made 9:7 12:16 16:25 21:21 30:18,20 **Larsen** 3:4.7 5:11 6:18.21 7:2.14

8:9,11 10:2,6,9,12 15:8,11 17:14

late 9:20 mailing 4:23 5:5 laughter 9:18

law 7:13 21:7 27:12,14 28:24 **learn** 27:4

lease 24:6 **leases** 25:19 **making** 16:20 leave 4:15,16,20 28:18

left 23:9 27:4,22 Martin 5:15

legibly 4:25

legislation 24:10 25:21,25 legislature 23:23 Master 3:7 5:11,14

legitimate 18:16 Maxwell 7:4 Lennie 5:13 MCFS 28:3 **lessees** 25:17

life 18:18 limit 26:5

lines 5:10 7:15 21:3 32:19 33:1,4

link 5:5 list 4:3,23 5:5

litigated 11:17 16:11 17:11 20:6

litigation 12:1,9,25 14:2,14,18 15:3 16:22,23 17:3,23 18:12

mine 26:14

longer 27:11 minimizes 32:5 lot 3:25 22:19 24:16 26:18 29:9 Molly 6:15 15:15 moments 30:14 lots 4:6 11:16 26:15 27:2

Mahoney 6:9 17:20 20:25

M

mail 33:15 majority 29:25

make 4:1,24 9:15 10:19 11:13 22:7 28:4 31:11

makes 18:18 26:9 Manley 6:9 17:21

Marty 6:6 31:2,4

Mary 7:12 21:6,8,14

Mcgee 6:6 31:3,4 32:17

means 19:13

mechanism 31:15 32:6

meeting 3:13 31:4 Melody 6:17,19,21 member 17:23 31:19

mention 21:10

Michael 6:3

mill 11:6,9 mind 10:6 14:22

minimize 31:14

momentum 30:10

money 30:4

morning 4:18 9:25 **motions** 19:17 multiple 31:17

municipal 7:22 31:18

Municipalities 25:14,20 28:21

Municipality 11:3 24:1

music 4:19

mute 4:13 17:17 33:5

Ν

naive 29:21 **Nardin** 6:17,19 narrowly 11:20 neighborhood 26:11

nice 29:19 nickel 23:6

noise 17:17

nonrenewable 23:15

North 6:12,13 7:7,11 10:17 15:17 25:17 29:12

note 5:2 11:1

notice 8:5 9:19 13:7.8 17:2 18:10 32:22

noticed 3:20 8:15,19 11:14,15

33:25

number 14:1 23:2

played 31:8,19 Ρ 0 players 25:23 playing 4:19 objective 20:15 26:19 p.m. 3:2 34:4 **point** 8:23 9:16 11:21 13:13 17:5, objectively 20:20 panel 31:20 22 20:8 27:5 28:5 obligated 32:22 Pardon 6:18 **position** 12:21 13:23 14:14,18 obligations 30:5 parking 3:24 4:6 occur 32:7 part 12:11 18:22 positioning 18:6 19:20 October 8:7 participation 33:11 34:1 positions 12:1,25 15:3 offer 10:4 26:25 parties 3:14 9:1,14 11:22 12:17 posted 23:4 13:18 19:6,9 office 16:4,11 27:23 potential 11:16 parts 29:16,17 officer 7:11 power 26:5 28:16 party 11:25 official 17:2 premature 19:19 20:8 pass 23:20 oil 3:8,9 6:1 7:6 9:25 17:8 22:5,6, prevailing 24:16 23 23:4 24:12 passed 24:4,10,18 27:22 previously 7:16 33:20 oilfield 26:9 **past** 4:8 13:20,24 17:12 18:13 price 23:4 24:16 Olemaun 7:10 pat 27:23 primarily 23:20 ongoing 28:13 path 10:22 32:15 prior 3:16,21 5:7 onstream 27:25 **pay** 24:13 25:18 problematic 19:19 20:2,9 open 8:24 18:4 paying 26:20 problems 26:8 operating 17:8 payment 26:21 procedure 16:3 opportunity 8:19 9:20 11:25 pending 16:13 procedures 3:21 12:7,24 14:23 33:24 Peninsula 29:13 proceeding 28:25 33:2 34:3 order 5:4 **people** 9:14,18 14:6 22:20 27:2 proceedings 9:7,23 34:4 ordinarily 23:23 28:6 30:3,4,13,16,18,25 **process** 8:3,14 11:25 12:7,15,23 original 14:13 percent 28:19 15:2,4 17:6,12 20:16,21 31:13 originally 22:23 31:3 period 20:16 produce 31:15 originated 29:24 **perspective** 28:8 30:9 31:22 **production** 3:10 18:20 19:2 outcome 29:1 pertinent 30:19 22:15 23:5 24:12,15 outcomes 29:6 **Peter** 5:18 **properly** 19:23 20:17,22 outs 27:3 **phone** 4:12,13,14,16 5:10 7:2,14 property 3:11 8:25 17:8,24 24:3 15:19 17:15,16 21:3 32:19 33:1,4 27:21 outstanding 19:15 **piece** 18:5 proposal 22:6,7 overturn 28:9 **pipe** 15:12 **propose** 8:15 16:1 owe 30:5 **pipeline** 3:10 22:23 23:8,12,16, **proposed** 3:16,19 33:19,23 owned 29:17 19,20 24:5,7,20 25:2,13 26:9 proprietary 21:13,19 33:22 owners 24:7 place 11:22 13:6,7 30:1 32:9 proven 12:20 13:1 18:13,15,21, plaintiffs 25:16 23,25 19:5 play 31:24 **provide** 8:24 14:12 18:11 21:2

Index: objective..provide

22:9 32:24 provided 33:24 providing 18:2 19:8 provoked 31:11

public 3:14,17 6:5 8:20,24,25 21:11,18,21 33:21

publicly 3:20 8:15,18 33:25

purpose 3:13 purposes 19:21

put 4:13,15 20:22 21:9 33:4

Q

question 26:23 questions 15:5,8

quieter 10:1

R

rate 11:6,9 rational 18:16 RCN 13:9

RCNLD 13:9,12

RCNS 13:21

reach 11:23 30:4

reached 28:8

ready 25:1

reason 27:19 28:10

reasonable 18:16 19:7

receive 3:14 33:12 received 8:6 21:11

recent 32:12 recognize 29:23

recommend 18:1 29:23

record 9:16 21:5,25 31:1 32:25 33:7,9

records 22:17 reduce 18:12

reflect 30:14

refrain 27:16

reg 10:18 11:25 15:4

regard 10:20 11:23 12:19,25 13:3 14:5,19 15:1 17:23 18:3,7,13,20 19:1,10,17 20:10

regular 33:15

regulated 24:8

regulation 11:4,7 12:7 14:9 16:3, 7 19:1,11 20:1 24:5,20 28:17 33:19

regulations 3:15,16,19 7:18,21 8:3,13,15,18,22,25 12:8 16:20 19:22,23 27:9,13,17 31:10,25 32:9,11 33:23,25

regulatory 12:14,23 16:1 17:6, 12 32:3

rejected 14:11

related 7:21 8:25 16:8 17:4

relates 15:22

relevant 14:12 29:10

relitigating 12:14 reluctant 28:9

remain 18:3

remaining 27:4

remember 24:25

reminder 21:15 33:12

rendering 20:17

reopen 12:24 14:18,24

reopening 14:2

repealed 7:19 10:24 25:12

replaced 25:12

replacement 7:22 13:3,5,6,7,9, 10,17 20:11,12,13

represent 15:17

representatives 9:13 15:18

representing 17:21

reserves 12:20,21 13:1 18:14,15, 21,24,25 19:1,6 28:1,2

resolution 28:16 31:15 32:6

resolve 25:10

resolved 15:23 17:3,4,12 20:3,7

Index: provided..scoped

resource 23:15

respect 22:2,18 29:6

respond 5:3

responsibilities 22:2

restrooms 4:7

result 16:22

retroactive 28:17

rev 27:24

revenue 3:8 5:12,14,25 16:9,19

17:8

revenues 24:15 25:15

reversed 28:22 Review 16:13

right-of-way 24:6 25:2

risk 12:19

River 23:5

Robin 5:20 10:14

role 31:7,8,19,24

roles 31:17

room 5:8,9 16:10 27:2 32:8,18

33:1

royalties 22:14 24:13 25:18

royalty 22:17 24:14,15,21 25:11,

17

RSK 7:9 ruled 12:22

S

SA 6:2

sale 26:11

sales 26:13

SARB 31:20

SARB'S 10:20

scheme 13:14,16 24:4

Schultz 5:15

scope 10:20

scoped 14:15

scoping 9:19
Sections 16:2
seller 26:20
Senator 24:25
send 33:13,15
sense 12:16 18:18
sensitive 27:7
September 8:17

session 4:17 22:13 25:8,9 26:1

set 24:14

settle 12:12,16,24 15:4

settled 12:2 14:3

settlement 11:21,23 12:4 17:4 18:4 25:24 26:2,5,6,7 27:15,17

settlements 28:18 29:6

settling 14:18
Seventh 33:16
shared 25:14
sheet 4:22

show 23:14 32:23

shut 15:7,11 **side** 28:7 **sidebar** 30:16

short 30:25

sides 28:6 sign 5:2

sign-in 4:3,22 signed 4:3 13:25

similar 13:4 sir 21:22,24 sit 15:6

sitting 10:3 12:13 16:9 30:21

Slope 6:12,13 7:11 15:17,18 25:17 29:12

Sohio 29:14

sort 12:8 22:21 25:15

sovereign 28:16

speak 9:5,21 17:19 22:8,9 31:4

speaking 17:22

special 22:13 25:8,9 26:1 **specific** 17:22 22:7 26:4

spoke 23:23 **spoken** 16:14 **square** 26:13

standard 18:14 20:14,15

standards 19:3,4

standstill 12:12 14:23,24

Star 7:7 10:17 start 21:10 started 3:6 starting 3:21 5:7

state 5:16,19 6:6,7 10:12 12:1,4, 20 13:23 15:2 16:12 17:25 18:9 19:20 20:19 22:12 23:14 24:4,6,9, 13 25:5,19 28:20 31:5,22,23

State's 12:25 14:14 22:16

stated 7:16 8:21 30:20 32:21

33:19

statements 30:19

statute 10:24 11:3,7 19:12

statutes 7:25 18:7 32:11

statutory 11:11 13:13,16 20:7

Stemp 6:13 **Steve** 6:9 17:20 **Stoddard** 6:5 **stood** 12:9

stress 3:17 **strong** 18:2 27:18

studies 13:17 14:12

study 13:21,22,24

submitting 16:15

stuff 22:21

subject 19:14 24:18 27:11 submit 21:17,20 33:12,21 submitted 33:18,20 success 29:24

successful 12:22 30:2 **suggest** 9:23 13:15

Index: scoping..taxpayer

suggesting 14:8

suggestions 7:17 suggests 14:9

Suite 33:16

summary 14:20 19:17 Superior 16:14 19:15 supervised 27:24 28:1 support 15:21 16:19

suppose 29:20

Supreme 10:19,23 12:22 15:23 16:21 20:4 27:11 32:12,13

Swanson 23:5 system 17:9 32:4 systems 31:13,14

Т

T8 25:3 **table** 28:7

tables 29:11

taking 9:11

TAPS 16:22 27:25 29:16,17

target 8:16 tariff 23:9

tariffs 23:13 24:5,8

tax 3:8,11 7:22 8:25 11:2,5 17:8, 24 22:1,3,4,13,17 23:12,19,25 24:2,12,15,21 25:11,13 26:9,10, 18,22 27:20,21 28:1,12,19 29:24 31:9.14

taxability 10:22 15:23 16:4

taxable 18:5 taxation 28:16 taxes 22:15 24:3 taxing 26:5

taxpayer 10:1 18:15 21:13

taxpayers 19:23

tennis 3:25

term 19:25

terms 18:10 20:2,7,19 31:7 **testimony** 4:20 5:7 32:24

thereof 26:21

thing 9:4 25:6 28:23,24

things 3:22 10:4,13 14:21 23:11 25:8 27:7 28:4 29:4 30:25 31:12

thinking 24:17 thought 22:20 threat 25:7

three-dollar 23:4

tick 4:5 tiers 11:4,8

tight 10:13 11:15

till 9:17 **Tim** 6:1

time 8:20,23 9:2,16 10:3 15:9 20:6,16 26:15 27:4 28:21 29:25 30:21 31:18 33:3.9

timeframe 11:16 timeframes 20:5 timeline 8:2

times 12:21 23:21

Title 3:11

today 3:13,22 10:16 15:20 21:2,5 24:23 30:24 33:11

today's 3:9 17:2

Tom 6:8 21:25 30:8

Tom's 31:10 32:1

total 13:10,12

transcription 9:6

transportation 3:11 18:21

treatises 14:12,17 tremendous 11:23

true 20:18,22

Tuesday 8:6 16:16

turn 4:11,16 12:17

turned 9:25

typing 17:16

U

underlying 10:24 11:3,9,24

undermines 12:3 understand 18:15 28:6

upset 27:17

٧

Valdez 5:21,23 10:16

valorem 22:2

valuable 10:3 30:21

valuation 20:16

valuations 20:2

valued 28:2

values 20:17,18

view 11:21

volunteer 9:11 30:11

volunteers 9:12

vote 25:1

votes 25:21

W

waiting 30:22

Wakeland 5:22

walk 4:5

wanted 4:23 15:21 21:9 23:11,25

25:14,21,22

waste 10:2 30:21

Wayne 7:9

ways 26:4

website 5:5 9:8

wellhead 23:9,13

West 33:15

Williams 6:8 21:23,25 22:1

Wilson 22:19

word 19:25

work 14:25 22:1 28:4,12

works 26:18

workshop 3:9 7:17,20 8:4 17:2

Index: taxpayers..years

32:22

world 23:3 31:21

writing 16:2,16

written 8:5 21:11,17

wrote 4:25

Y

year 22:25 26:12

years 12:12 14:1 20:5 31:21